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#### Abstract

In this study, we give some characterization of Bertrand curves in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean space. We obtain representation formulae for Bertrand curves in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean space. Then we find that this Bertrand curves are also circular helices.
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## 1.Introduction

The notion of Bertrand curves was discovered by J. Bertrand in 1850, then they play an important role in classical differential geometry. Two curves which, at any point, have a common principal normal vector are called Bertrand curves. Bertrand curves are characterized as special curves whose curvature and torsion are in linear relation. Thus Bertrand curves may be regarded as 1-dimensional analogue of Weingarten surfaces. Throughout the years many mathematicians have studied Bertrand curves in different areas.

A Galilean space may be considered as the limit case of a pseudo-Euclidean space in which the isotropic cone degenerates to a plane. This limit transition corresponds to the limit transition from the special theory of relativity to classical mechanics. The fundamental concepts of Galilean geometry are expressed in [7], the pseudo-Galilean geometry like Galilean geometry which described in $[3,14]$. A necessary and sufficient condition that a curve to be Bertrand curve in Galilean 3-space $G_{3}$ is that the curve has constant torsion [2].
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A curve of constant slope or general helix is defined by the property that the tangent lines make a constant angle with a fixed direction. Indeed, a helix is a special case of the general helix; if both the curvature $\kappa(s)$ and torsion $\tau(s)$ are non-zero constants, it is called a circular helix or simply a W-curve $[9,11,13]$. In fact, a circular helix is the simplest threedimensional spiral.

Izumiya and Takeuchi have introduced the concept of Slant helix in Euclidean space by saying that the principal normal lines make a constant angle with a fixed direction [16]. A necessary and sufficient condition for a curve to be general helix in Galilean space is that ratio of curvature to torsion be constant [1].

In this paper we study representation formulae for Bertrand curves in Galilean and pseudoGalilean space.

## 2.Preliminaries

The Galilean space $G_{3}$ is a Cayley-Klein space equipped with the projective metric of signature $(0,0,+,+)$ as in [5,17]. The absolute figure of Galilean geometry consist of an ordered triple $\{w, f, I\}$, where $w$ is the ideal (absolute) plane, $f$ is the line (absolute line) in $w$ and $I$ is the fixed elliptic involution of points of $f$.

Galilean scalar product can be written as

$$
\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}x_{1} x_{2} & , \text { if } x_{1} \neq 0 \vee x_{2} \neq 0  \tag{2.1}\\ y_{1} y_{2}+z_{1} z_{2} & \text {, if } x_{1}=0 \wedge x_{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

where $v_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ and $v_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$. It leaves invariant the Galilean norm of the vector $v=(x, y, z)$ defined by

$$
\|v\|= \begin{cases}x & \text {,if } x \neq 0  \tag{2.2}\\ \sqrt{y^{2}+z^{2}} & \text {,if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

[14].
If a curve $C$ of the class $C^{r}(r \geq 3)$ is given by the parametrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=r(x, y(x), z(x)) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $x$ is a Galilean invariant the arc length on $C$.

The curvature is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(x)=\sqrt{y^{\prime \prime 2}+z^{\prime \prime 2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and torsion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x)=\frac{1}{\kappa^{2}(x)} \operatorname{det}\left(r^{\prime}(x), r^{\prime \prime}(x), r^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orthonormal trihedron is defined

$$
\begin{align*}
& t(x)=\left(1, y^{\prime}(x), z^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
& n(x)=\frac{1}{\kappa(x)}\left(0, y^{\prime \prime}(x), z^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& b(x)=\frac{1}{\kappa(x)}\left(0,-z^{\prime \prime}(x), y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The vectors $t, n, b$ are called the vectors of tangent, principal normal and binormal line of, respectively. For their derivatives the following Frenet formulas hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\prime}(x)=\kappa(x) n(x) \\
& n^{\prime}(x)=\tau(x) b(x)  \tag{2.7}\\
& b^{\prime}(x)=-\tau(x) n(x)
\end{align*}
$$

[8].

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha$ be a curve in Galilean 3-space and $\{t, n, b\}$ be the Frenet frame in Galilean 3 -space along $\alpha$. If $\kappa$ and $\tau$ are positive constants along $\alpha$, then $\alpha$ is called a circular helix with respect to Frenet frame [1].

Definition 2.2. Let $\alpha$ be a curve in Galilean 3-space and $\{t, n, b\}$ be the Frenet frame in Galilean 3 -space along $\alpha$. A curve $\alpha$ such that

$$
\frac{\kappa}{\tau}=\text { const }
$$

is called general helix with respect to Frenet frame [1].

Theorem 2.3. Let $\alpha$ be a curve in Galilean 3-space. Then $\alpha$ is a Bertrand curve if and only if $\alpha$ is a curve with constant torsion $\tau_{\alpha}$ [2].
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Remark 2.4. Similar definitions can be given in the pseudo-Galilean space.
The pseudo-Galilean geometry is one of the real Cayley-Klein geometries of projective signature ( $0,0,+,-$ ), explained in [3]. The absolute of pseudo-Galilean geometry is an ordered triple $\{w, f, I\}$, where $w$ is the ideal (absolute) plane, $f$ is the line (absolute line) in $w$ and $I$ is the fixed hyperbolic involution of points of $f$.

As in [3], pseudo-Galilean inner product can be written as

$$
\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}x_{1} x_{2} & , \text { if } x_{1} \neq 0 \vee x_{2} \neq 0  \tag{2.8}\\ y_{1} y_{2}-z_{1} z_{2} & , \text { if } x_{1}=0 \wedge x_{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

where $v_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ and $v_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$. It leaves invariant the pseudo-Galilean norm of the vector $v=(x, y, z)$ defined by

$$
\|\nu\|= \begin{cases}x & , \text { if } x \neq 0  \tag{2.9}\\ \sqrt{\left|y^{2}-z^{2}\right|} & , \text { if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

In pseudo-Galilean space a curve is given by $\alpha: I \rightarrow G_{3}^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $x(t), y(t), z(t) \in C^{3}$. A curve $\alpha$ given by (2.10) is admissible if $x^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ [3].

The curves in pseudo-Galilean space are characterized as follows [4]
An admissible curve in $G_{3}^{1}$ can be parametrized by arc length $t=s$, given in coordinate form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(s)=(s, y(s), z(s)) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an admissible curve $\alpha: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G_{3}^{1}$, the curvature $\kappa(s)$ and the torsion $\tau(s)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\kappa(x)=\sqrt{\left|y^{\prime \prime 2}-z^{\prime \prime 2}\right|}  \tag{2.12}\\
\tau(s)=\frac{1}{\kappa^{2}(s)} \operatorname{det}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

The associated trihedron is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& t(s)=\alpha^{\prime}(s)=\left(1, y^{\prime}(s), z^{\prime}(x s)\right) \\
& n(s)=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)} \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)}\left(0, y^{\prime \prime}(s), z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
& b(s)=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)}\left(0, z^{\prime \prime}(s), y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The vectors $t(s), n(s)$ and $b(s)$ are called the vectors of tangent, principal normal and binormal line of $\alpha$, respectively. The curve $\alpha$ given by (2.11) is timelike if $n(s)$ is spacelike vector. For derivatives of tangent vector $t(s)$, principal normal vector $n(s)$ and binormal vector $b(s)$, respectively, the following Frenet formulas hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\prime}(s)=\kappa(s) n(s) \\
& n^{\prime}(s)=\tau(s) b(s)  \tag{2.15}\\
& b^{\prime}(s)=\tau(s) n(s)
\end{align*}
$$

If the admissible curve $\beta$ is given by $\beta(x)=(x, y(x), 0)$ and for this admissible curve the curvature $\kappa(s)$ and the torsion $\tau(s)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa(x)=y^{\prime \prime}(x)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \tau(x)=\frac{a_{2}^{\prime}(x)}{a_{3}(x)} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a(x)=\left(0, a_{2}(x), a_{3}(x)\right)$. The associated trihedron is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& t(x)=\left(1, y^{\prime}(x), 0\right) \\
& n(x)=\left(0, a_{2}(x), a_{3}(x)\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
& b(x)=\left(0, a_{3}(x), a_{2}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For derivatives of tangent vector $t(s)$, principal normal vector $n(s)$ and binormal vector $b(s)$, respectively, the following Frenet formulas hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{\prime}(x)=\kappa(x)(\cosh \phi(x) n(x)-\sinh \phi(x) b(x)) \\
& n^{\prime}(x)=\tau(x) b(x)  \tag{2.19}\\
& b^{\prime}(x)=\tau(x) n(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the angle between $a(x)$ and the plane $z=0$.
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The unit pseudo-Galilean sphere is defined by
$S_{\mp}^{2}=\left\{u \in G_{3}^{1}:\langle u, u\rangle=\mp 1\right\}$.
More information about pseudo-Galilean geometry can be found in [3].

## 3. Spherical curves and Bertrand curves in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean 3-space

In this section we give the method to construct Bertrand curves from spherical curves in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean 3-space.

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow S^{2}$ be a unit speed spherical curve. In this section we denote $\sigma$ as the arclength parameter of $\gamma$. Let us denote $t(\sigma)=\dot{\gamma}(\sigma)$, and we call $t(\sigma)$ a unit tangent vector of $\gamma$ at $\sigma$, where $\dot{\gamma}=\frac{d \gamma}{d \sigma}$. We now set a vector $s(\sigma)=\gamma(\sigma) \times t(\sigma)$. By definition we have an orthonormal frame $\{\gamma(\sigma), t(\sigma), s(\sigma)\}$ along $\gamma$. This frame is called Sabban frame of $\gamma$ [10]. Theorem 3.1. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow S_{G}^{2}$ be a unit speed spherical curve in Galilean 3-space. We denote $\sigma$ as the arc-length parameter of $\gamma$. Then we have the following spherical Frenet-Serret formulae of $\gamma$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\gamma}(\sigma)=t(\sigma) \\
& \dot{t}(\sigma)=-\gamma(\sigma)+\kappa_{g}(\sigma) s(\sigma)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \dot{s}(\sigma)=-\kappa_{g}(\sigma) t(\sigma)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa_{g}(\sigma)$ is the geodesic curvature of the curve $\gamma$ in $S_{G}^{2}$ which is given by $\kappa_{g}(\sigma)=\operatorname{det}(\gamma(\sigma), t(\sigma), \dot{t}(\sigma))$ or

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{g}(\sigma) & =\operatorname{det}(\gamma(\sigma), t(\sigma), \dot{t}(\sigma)) \\
& =\langle\gamma(\sigma) \times t(\sigma), \dot{i}(\sigma)\rangle  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\langle s(\sigma), \dot{i}(\sigma)\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Also $\langle\dot{\gamma}(\sigma), t(\sigma)\rangle=1$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow S_{G}^{2}$ be a unit speed spherical curve in pseudo-Galilean 3-space. We denote $\sigma$ as the arc-length parameter of $\gamma$. Then we have the following spherical FrenetSerret formulae of $\gamma$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { If }\langle\gamma(\sigma), \gamma(\sigma)\rangle=1,\langle t(\sigma), t(\sigma)\rangle=1 \text { and }\langle s(\sigma), s(\sigma)\rangle=-1 \\
& \dot{\gamma}(\sigma)=t(\sigma) \\
& \dot{t}(\sigma)=-\gamma(\sigma)-\kappa_{g}(\sigma) s(\sigma)  \tag{3.3}\\
& \dot{s}(\sigma)=-\kappa_{g}(\sigma) t(\sigma) \\
& \text { If }\langle\gamma(\sigma), \gamma(\sigma)\rangle=1,\langle t(\sigma), t(\sigma)\rangle=-1 \text { and }\langle s(\sigma), s(\sigma)\rangle=1 \\
& \dot{\gamma}(\sigma)=-t(\sigma) \\
& \dot{t}(\sigma)=\gamma(\sigma)+\kappa_{g}(\sigma) s(\sigma)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \dot{s}(\sigma)=\kappa_{g}(\sigma) t(\sigma) \\
& \operatorname{If}\langle\gamma(\sigma), \gamma(\sigma)\rangle=-1,\langle t(\sigma), t(\sigma)\rangle=1 \text { and }\langle s(\sigma), s(\sigma)\rangle=1 \\
& \dot{\gamma}(\sigma)=t(\sigma) \\
& \dot{t}(\sigma)=\gamma(\sigma)+\kappa_{g}(\sigma) s(\sigma)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \dot{s}(\sigma)=-\kappa_{g}(\sigma) t(\sigma)
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow S_{G}^{2}$ be a unit speed spherical curve. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)=a \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\sigma} \gamma(u) d u+a \tan \theta \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\sigma} s(u) d u+c \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Bertrand curve, where $a, \theta$ are constant numbers and $c$ is constant vector.

Proof. By using the method in [15] we calculate the curvature and torsion of $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)$.
In Galilean 3-space if we take the derivative of equation (3.6) with respect to $\sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(\sigma)=a(\gamma(\sigma)+\tan \theta s(\sigma)) \\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right) t(\sigma)  \tag{3.7}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta-a\right) \gamma(\sigma)+\kappa_{g}\left(a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right) s(\sigma)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa_{g}(\sigma)$ is the constant geodesic curvature of the curve $\gamma$ in $S_{G}^{2}$. Then, by (2.4) and (2.5)

$$
\kappa(\sigma)=\left|a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right| \text { and } \tau(\sigma)=a\left(\kappa_{g}+\tan \theta\right)
$$

so that $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)$ is a Bertrand curve and also it is a circular helix.
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In pseudo-Galilean 3-space if we take the derivative of equation (3.6) with respect to $\sigma$, we have

## Case I.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(\sigma)=a(\gamma(\sigma)+\tan \theta s(\sigma)) \\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right) t(\sigma)  \tag{3.8}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta-a\right) \gamma(\sigma)+\kappa_{g}\left(a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta-a\right) s(\sigma)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa_{g}(\sigma)$ is the constant geodesic curvature of the curve $\gamma$ in $S_{G}^{2}$. Then, by (2.12) and (2.13)

$$
\kappa(\sigma)=\left|a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right| \text { and } \tau(\sigma)=a\left(\tan \theta-\kappa_{g}\right)
$$

so that $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)$ is a Bertrand curve and also it is a circular helix.

## Case II.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(\sigma)=a(\gamma(\sigma)+\tan \theta s(\sigma)) \\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta-a\right) t(\sigma)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta-a\right) \gamma(\sigma)+\kappa_{g}\left(a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta-a\right) s(\sigma)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa_{g}(\sigma)$ is the constant geodesic curvature of the curve $\gamma$ in $S_{G}^{2}$. Then, by (2.12) and (2.13)

$$
\kappa(\sigma)=\left|a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta-a\right| \text { and } \tau(\sigma)=a\left(\kappa_{g}-\tan \theta\right)
$$

so that $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)$ is a Bertrand curve and also it is a circular helix.

## Case III.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(\sigma)=a(\gamma(\sigma)+\tan \theta s(\sigma)) \\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right) t(\sigma)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\sigma)=\left(a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right) \gamma(\sigma)+\kappa_{g}\left(a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right) s(\sigma)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa_{g}(\sigma)$ is the constant geodesic curvature of the curve $\gamma$ in $S_{G}^{2}$. Then, by (2.12) and (2.13)

$$
\kappa(\sigma)=\left|a-a \kappa_{g} \tan \theta\right| \text { and } \tau(\sigma)=a\left(\kappa_{g}-\tan \theta\right)
$$

so that $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)$ is a Bertrand curve and also it is a circular helix.

## 4. Representation formulae for Bertrand curves in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean 3-

 spaceLemma 4.1. If a spatial curve $\alpha$ has constant nonzero curvature $\kappa_{\alpha}$ and torsion $\tau_{\alpha}$, then the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(s)=a \alpha(s)+b\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{\alpha}^{2}} n(s)+\int b(s) d s\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Bertrand curve in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean 3-space.

Proof. In Galilean 3-space direct computations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{\prime}(s)=a t(s)+b\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{\alpha}}+1\right) b(s) \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime}(s)=\left(a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(1-\tau_{\alpha}\right)\right) n(s) \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=\left(a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(1-\tau_{\alpha}\right)\right) \tau_{\alpha} b(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

From these

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{\beta}=\left|a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(1-\tau_{\alpha}\right)\right| \\
\tau_{\beta}=a \tau_{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\beta(s)$ is a Bertrand curve in Galilean 3-space.
Now we show that $\beta(s)$ is also a Bertrand curve in pseudo-Galilean 3-space,

## Case I.

$\langle n(s), n(s)\rangle=1$ and $\langle b(s), b(s)\rangle=-1$
Direct computations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{\prime}(s)=a t(s)+b\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{\alpha}}+1\right) b(s) \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime}(s)=\left(a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(\tau_{\alpha}-1\right)\right) n(s) \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=\left(a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(\tau_{\alpha}-1\right)\right) \tau_{\alpha} b(s)
\end{aligned}
$$
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From these

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{\beta}=\left|a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(\tau_{\alpha}-1\right)\right| \\
\tau_{\beta}=a \tau_{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\beta(s)$ is a Bertrand curve in pseudo-Galilean 3-space.

## Case II.

$$
\langle n(s), n(s)\rangle=-1 \text { and }\langle b(s), b(s)\rangle=1
$$

Direct computations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{\prime}(s)=a t(s)+b\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{\alpha}}+1\right) b(s) \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime}(s)=\left(a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(\tau_{\alpha}-1\right)\right) n(s) \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=\left(a \kappa_{\alpha}+b\left(\tau_{\alpha}-1\right)\right) \tau_{\alpha} b(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

From these

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{\beta}=\left|-a \kappa_{\alpha}-b\left(\tau_{\alpha}-1\right)\right| \\
\tau_{\beta}=a \tau_{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\beta(s)$ is a Bertrand curve in pseudo-Galilean 3-space. Also this curve is a circular helix in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean 3-space.

Theorem 4.2. (Representation formulae) Let $u(\sigma)$ be a curve in the Galilean 3-space parametrised by arclength. Then define three spatial curves $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ by $\alpha=a \int u(\sigma) d \sigma$, $\beta=a \cot \theta \int u(\sigma) \times d u$ and $\gamma=\alpha+\beta$. Then $\alpha$ is constant curvature curve, $\beta$ is constant torsion curve and $\gamma$ is a Bertrand curve.

Proof. Let $u=u(\sigma)$ be a curve in $G_{3}$ parametrised by the arclength $\sigma$. Then $\left\{\xi=u^{\prime}, \eta=u \times u^{\prime}, u\right\}$ is a positive orthonormal frame field along $u$.

If $u=(1, y, z)$ then $y^{\prime 2}+z^{\prime 2}=1$ and

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=-u+\lambda \eta \text { and } u^{\prime} \times u^{\prime \prime}=-\lambda u^{\prime}+v \eta
$$

for some function $\lambda$ and $v$. From the definition of $\gamma$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{\prime}=a(u+\cot \theta \eta) \\
& \gamma^{\prime \prime}=(a-a \lambda \cot \theta) \xi+a v \cot \theta \eta \\
& \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=(a \lambda \cot \theta-a) u-a \lambda v \cot \theta \xi+\left(\lambda(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)+a v^{2} \cot \theta\right) \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa=\sqrt{(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}+a^{2} v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta} \\
\tau=\frac{a \cot \theta(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}+a\left[(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)\left(a \lambda-a \lambda^{2}+a v^{2} \cot \theta\right)+a^{3} \lambda v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta\right]}{(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}+a^{2} v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\gamma$ is a Bertrand curve.
Next, we compute the curvature of $\alpha$ and torsion of $\beta$.
Direct computations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{\prime}=a u \\
& \alpha^{\prime \prime}=a \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{\prime}=a \cot \theta \eta \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime}=-a \lambda \cot \theta u^{\prime}+a v \cot \theta \eta \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=a \lambda \cot \theta u-a \lambda v \cot \theta u^{\prime}+\left(a v^{2} \cot \theta-a \lambda^{2} \cot \theta\right) \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{\alpha}=a \\
\tau_{\beta}=\frac{a \lambda^{2} \cot \theta}{\lambda^{2}+v^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4.3. ( Representation formulae ) Let $u(\sigma)$ be a curve in the pseudo-Galilean 3space parametrised by arclength. Then define three spatial curves $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ by $\alpha=a \int u(\sigma) d \sigma, \beta=a \cot \theta \int u(\sigma) \times d u$ and $\gamma=\alpha+\beta$. Then $\alpha$ is constant curvature curve, $\beta$ is constant torsion curve and $\gamma$ is a Bertrand curve.

Proof. Let $u=u(\sigma)$ be a curve in $G_{3}^{1}$ parametrised by the arclength $\sigma$. Then $\left\{\xi=u^{\prime}, \eta=u \times u^{\prime}, u\right\}$ is a positive orthonormal frame field along $u$.
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## Case I.

If $u=(1, y, z),\left\langle u \times u^{\prime}, u \times u^{\prime}\right\rangle=1$ and $\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1$ then $\left|y^{\prime 2}-z^{\prime 2}\right|=1$ and

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=u+\lambda \eta \text { and } u^{\prime} \times u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda u^{\prime}+v \eta
$$

for some function $\lambda$ and $v$. From the definition of $\gamma$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{\prime}=a(u+\cot \theta \eta) \\
& \gamma^{\prime \prime}=(a+a \lambda \cot \theta) \xi+a v \cot \theta \eta \\
& \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=(a \lambda \cot \theta+a) u+a \lambda v \cot \theta \xi+\left(\lambda(a+a \lambda \cot \theta)+a v^{2} \cot \theta\right) \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa=\sqrt{-(a+a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}+a^{2} v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta} \\
\tau=\frac{-a \cot \theta(a+a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}+a\left[(a+a \lambda \cot \theta)\left(a \lambda+a \lambda^{2}+a v^{2} \cot \theta\right)-a^{3} \lambda v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta\right]}{a v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta-(a+a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\gamma$ is a Bertrand curve.
Next, we compute the curvature of $\alpha$ and torsion of $\beta$.
Direct computations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{\prime}=a u \\
& \alpha^{\prime \prime}=a \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{\prime}=a \cot \theta \eta \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime}=a \lambda \cot \theta u^{\prime}+a v \cot \theta \eta \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=a \lambda \cot \theta u+a \lambda v \cot \theta u^{\prime}+\left(a v^{2} \cot \theta+a \lambda^{2} \cot \theta\right) \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{\alpha}=a \\
\tau_{\beta}=-\frac{a \lambda^{2} \cot \theta}{\lambda^{2}+v^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Case II.

If $u=(1, y, z),\left\langle u \times u^{\prime}, u \times u^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1$ and $\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle=1$ then $\left|y^{\prime 2}-z^{\prime 2}\right|=1$ and

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=-u-\lambda \eta \text { and } u^{\prime} \times u^{\prime \prime}=-\lambda u^{\prime}-v \eta
$$

for some function $\lambda$ and $v$. From the definition of $\gamma$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{\prime}=a(u+\cot \theta \eta) \\
& \gamma^{\prime \prime}=(a-a \lambda \cot \theta) \xi-a v \cot \theta \eta \\
& \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=(a \lambda \cot \theta-a) u+a \lambda v \cot \theta \xi+\left(-\lambda(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)+a v^{2} \cot \theta\right) \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa=\sqrt{(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}-a^{2} v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta} \\
\tau=\frac{a \cot \theta(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}+a\left[(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)\left(-a \lambda+a \lambda^{2}+a v^{2} \cot \theta\right)+a^{3} \lambda v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta\right]}{(a-a \lambda \cot \theta)^{2}-a^{2} v^{2} \cot ^{2} \theta} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\gamma$ is a Bertrand curve.
Next, we compute the curvature of $\alpha$ and torsion of $\beta$.
Direct computations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{\prime}=a u \\
& \alpha^{\prime \prime}=a \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{\prime}=a \cot \theta \eta \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime}=-a \lambda \cot \theta u^{\prime}-a v \cot \theta \eta \\
& \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=a \lambda \cot \theta u+a \lambda v \cot \theta u^{\prime}+\left(a v^{2} \cot \theta+a \lambda^{2} \cot \theta\right) \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{\alpha}=a \\
\tau_{\beta}=\frac{a \lambda^{2} \cot \theta}{\lambda^{2}-v^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 4.4. The representation formulae for Bertrand curves in Galilean and pseudoGalilean 3-space obtained in theorem 4.2. and theorem 4.3. show that this Bertrand curves are also circular helix.
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