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Abstract

The theory underlying the location of zeroes of the Riemann Zeta Function is one of the key unsolved problems. Researchers are making extensive numerical studies to complement the theoretical work. The evaluation of the zeta function at large heights involves time consuming calculations. It would be helpful if one could have good predictions for the possible locations of the zeros, since one could then reduce the number of function evaluations required for locating the zeros. In this work we apply neural network regression as a tool to aid the empirical studies of the locations of the zeros. We use values evaluated at the Gram points as the input feature set for the predictions. The range of t studied is 26763395648.87 to 267653398472.51.
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1 Introduction

In the 1850’s Riemann came up with an intriguing hypothesis about the location of the roots of the Riemann zeta function, which challenges us to this day. There is an impressive body of empirical evidence for his hypothesis, but a formal proof has been elusive. The numerical studies have found regions where the hypothesis is almost violated, but no counter-example has been found. The numerical calculations are time-consuming, and would benefit from tools which give approximate locations for the zeros of the function. We apply neural network regression to aid the empirical studies of the locations of the zeros. The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 establishes the required notation for the Riemann Zeta Function and $L$-functions. We also review briefly the theoretical work related to the locations of the zeros to show the importance of the topic. Section 3 describes the numerical evaluation of the Riemann zeta function. In section 4 we present results on training a neural network to predict the zero locations. The feature set used for training the neural network is based on the values at Gram points. The range of $t$ studied is 267653395648.87 to 267653398472.51, which encompasses the range of zeros from $10^{12}$ to $10^{12} + 10^4$. Section 5 gives a brief summary of the results.
2 Theory of zero distributions of Generalised zeta functions

In this section we establish the required notation for the Riemann Zeta Function and $L$-functions. We also discuss the theory of the zero distributions, which gives the subject its importance.

The Riemann Zeta function is defined for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} = \prod_{p \in \text{primes}} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}. \tag{1}$$

Eq. (1) converges for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. It was shown by Riemann [1, 2, 3, 4] that $\zeta(s)$ has a continuation to the complex plane and satisfies a functional equation

$$\xi(s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \xi(1 - s); \tag{2}$$

$\xi(s)$ is entire except for simple poles at $s = 0$ and 1. Riemann multiplied the definition by $s(s-1)$ to remove the poles. We write the zeroes of $\xi(s)$ as $1/2 + iy$. The Riemann Hypothesis asserts that $\gamma$ is real for the non-trivial zeroes. We order the $\gamma$s in increasing order, with

$$\ldots \gamma_{-1} < 0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \ldots \tag{3}$$

Then $\gamma_j = -\gamma_{-j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots$ are roughly 14.1347, 21.0220, $\ldots$

Asymptotically, for the Riemann zeta function the mean number of zeros with height less than $\gamma$ (the smoothed Riemann zeta staircase) is [4]

$$< N_R(\gamma) > = (\gamma/2\pi)(\ln(\gamma/2\pi) - 1) - \frac{7}{8}. \tag{4}$$

Thus, the mean spacing of the zeros at height $\gamma$ is $2\pi(\ln(\gamma/2\pi))^{-1}$. For the range of $t$ values studied by us this spacing is essentially constant at 0.2567.

The study of the zeroes of the Riemann zeta function and Generalised Zeta functions is of interest to mathematicians and physicists. Mathematicians study the spacings because of its applications to analytic number theory, while physicists study it because of its relation to the theory of the spectra of random matrix theories (RMT) and the spectra of classically chaotic quantum systems.

Odlyzko [5, 6] has made extensive numerical studies of the zeroes of the Riemann zeta function and their local spacings. He also studied their relation to the random matrix models of physics. Wigner [7] suggested that the resonance lines of a heavy nucleus might be modeled by the spectrum of a large random matrix. Gaudin [9] and Gaudin-Mehta [8] gave results for the local (scaled) spacing distributions between the eigenvalues of typical members of the ensembles as $N \to \infty$, based on their study of orthogonal polynomials. Later Dyson [10] introduced the closely related circular ensembles.

Odlyzko confirmed numerically that the local spacings of the zeroes of the Riemann Zeta function obey the laws for the (scaled) spacings between the
eigenvalues of a typical large unitary matrix. That is, they obey the laws of
the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) [7, 8, 9, 10]. Katz and Sarnak [12] state
that at the phenomenological level this may be the most striking discovery about
zeta since Riemann. Odlyzko’s computations thus verified the discoveries and
conjectures of Montgomery [13, 14, 15].

Further evidence for the connection between random matrices and general-
ised zeta functions comes from calculations of the zero correlation functions
[16, 17, 18, 19], and the study of the low-lying zeros of families of $L$-functions
[20]. Extensive numerical computations [5, 11] have strengthened the connec-
tion.

Several authors [21, 22, 23, 24, 25] have studied the moments of the Rie-
mann zeta function and families of $L$-functions, and the relation to characteris-
tic polynomials of random matrices. The autocorrelation functions were studied
in [26, 27]. The relation of the Riemann zeta function to probability laws was
studied in [28]. The author of this work studied the distributions of the zero
 spacings using Rescaled Range Analysis [29].

It has been shown that the long-range statistics of the zeroes of the Riemann
zeta function are better described in terms of primes than by the GUE RMT.
Berry [30, 31, 32, 33] has related this to a study of the semiclassical behaviour of
classically chaotic physical systems. The primitive closed orbits of the physical
system are analogous to the primes $p$. The analogy comes from formulae that
connect zeros of the zeta function and prime numbers [34, 35, 36].

Quantum chaology is defined by Berry [32] as the study of semiclassical, but
non-classical, behaviour characteristic of systems whose classical motion exhibits
chaos. By semiclassical one means the limit as Planck’s constant $\hbar \to 0$. The
distribution of eigenvalues of quantum chaotic systems shows universality. The
universality class depends on the symmetries of the system’s Hamiltonian. For
systems without time-reversal invariance the distribution of eigenvalue spacings
approaches that of the GUE. The connection between quantum chaology and
the Riemann zeta function comes about because the Riemann Hypothesis would
follow if the imaginary parts $\gamma_j$ of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta
function are eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator.

The remarkable properties of the Riemann Zeta Function can be generalised
to a host of other zeta and $L$-functions. The simplest of the generalisations are
for the Dirichlet $L$-functions $L(s, \chi)$ defined as follows: $q \geq 1$ is an integer and
$\chi$ is a primitive character of the Abelian group formed by all integers smaller
than and relatively prime to $q$. $\chi$ is extended to all integer values by making it
periodic, and $\chi(m) = 0$ if $m$ and $q$ have a common factor. Then

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)n^{-s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}\right)^{-1}.$$  
(5)

The analogue of the functional equation Eq. (2) is known for the generalised zeta
functions, and they also seem to satisfy the generalised Riemann Hypothesis. $q$
is called the conductor of the $L$-function. In this work we perform studies on
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Figure 2: Comparison of neural network prediction vs actual value for the distance from a Gram point to the next zero. The x axis shows 50 Gram points beginning at index 1000000008584.

the Riemann zeta function. It would be worthwhile to extend the study to the other zeta functions.

The next section gives the details of the numerical calculations.

3 Empirical Calculations

In this section we discuss the details of the numerical work. The numerical analysis takes advantage of the functional equation Eq. (2). One defines

$$\theta(t) = \arg(\pi^{it/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{it}{2})),$$

where the argument is defined by continuous variation of $t$ starting with the value 0 at $t = 0$. For large $t$ $\theta$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$\theta(t) = \frac{t}{2} \ln\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{1}{48t} - \frac{1}{5760t^3}.$$  

A consequence of the zeta functional equation is that the function $Z(t) = exp(i\theta(t))\gamma(1/2 + it)$, known as the Riemann-Siegel $Z$-function, is real valued for real $t$. Moreover we have $|Z(t)| = |\zeta(1/2 + it)|$. Thus the zeros of $Z(t)$ are the imaginary part of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ which lie on the critical line. We are led to finding the change of sign of a real valued function to find zeros on the critical line. This is a very convenient property in the numerical verification
of the Riemann Hypthesis. Another very helpful property is that many of the zeros are separated by the "Gram points". When \( t \geq 7 \), the \( \theta \) function Eq.(6) is monotonic increasing. For \( n \geq 1 \), the \( n \)-th Gram point \( g_n \) is defined as the unique solution \( > 7 \) to \( \theta(g_n) = n\pi \). The Gram points are as dense as the zeros of \( \zeta(s) \) but are much more regularly distributed. Their locations can be found without any evaluations of the Riemann-Siegel series Eq.(8). Gram's law is the empirical observation that \( Z(t) \) usually changes its sign in each Gram interval \( G_n = [g_n, g_{n+1}) \). This law fails infinitely often, but it is true in a large proportion of cases. The average value of \( Z(g_n) \) is 2 for even \( n \) and \(-2\) for odd \( n \) [3], and hence \( Z(g_n) \) undergoes an infinite number of sign changes. Figure 1 shows the Riemann-Siegel function \( Z(g_n) \) evaluated at 500 Gram points starting at \( n = 99999999999 \). Given the desirable properties of the Gram points, it seems natural to use the values at these points as the feature set for the neural network regression.

The Riemann-Siegel Z-function is evaluated using the Riemann-Siegel series

\[
Z(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\cos(\theta(t) - t \ln(n))}{\sqrt{n}} + R(t),
\]

where \( m \) is the integer part of \( \sqrt{t/(2\pi)} \), and \( R(t) \) is a small remainder term which can be evaluated to the desired level of accuracy. The most important source for loss of accuracy at large heights is the cancellation between large numbers that occur in the arguments of the \( \cos \) terms in Eq. (8). We use a high precision module to evaluate the arguments. The rest of the calculation is done using regular double precision accuracy. The range of \( t \) studied is from \( 267653395648.87 \) to \( 267653398472.51 \), which encompasses the range of zeros from \( 10^{12} \) to \( 10^{12} + 10^{4} \).

Odlyzko [5] has published very accurate values for the zeros in this range. By comparing our calculations with the the calculations of Odlyzko, we estimate that our accuracy for the \( Z \) function evaluation is better than \( 10^{-8} \).

In the next section we discuss the application of neural network regression to aid the location of the zeros.

4 Neural Network Regression

This section describes the feature set used in training our neural networks, and the results of the training. Neural Network Regression (NNR) models have been applied for forecasting and are included in state of the art techniques in forecasting methods [37, 38, 39]. Cybenko [40] and Hornik et al. [41] demonstrated that specific NNR models can approximate any continuous function if their hidden layer is sufficiently large. Furthermore, NNR models are equivalent to several nonlinear nonparametric models, i.e. models where no decisive assumption about the generating process must be made in advance [42]. Kouam et al. [43] have shown that most forecasting models (ARMA models, bilinear models, autoregressive models with thresholds, non-parametric models with kernel regression, etc.) are embedded in NNR. The advantage of NNR models can be summarised as follows: if, in practice, the best model for a given
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Figure 3: Comparison of neural network prediction vs actual value for the smallest zero difference in the Gram interval (the left-hand zero lies in the Gram interval). The x-axis shows 50 Gram points beginning at index 1000000008584.

As explained in Section 3, we use values defined at the Gram points for the input feature set provided to the neural network. This is because the positions of the Gram points can be determined to the desired accuracy without extensive costly calculations. The features we use are the values of the Riemann-Siegel Z-function, the first ten terms in the Riemann-Siegel series Eq. (8), and nine terms with the cos function replaced by the respective sin function, for a pair of consecutive Gram points. We drop the first sin term because it is always zero at Gram points. Thus, the size of the input feature set is 40. We use a two-layer neural network with 200 neurons in the hidden layer.

We train the neural network to separately predict two quantities. The first is the distance from a Gram point to the next zero. The second is the smallest zero difference in the Gram interval with the left-hand zero lying in the Gram interval. The reason for choosing these output functions is that close pairs of zeros are interesting. They correspond to cases for which the RH is nearly false. Calculating the Riemann zeta function in zones where two zeros are very close is a stringent test of the Riemann Hypothesis (often described as Lehmer’s phenomenon since Lehmer was the first to observe such situations). We use the scaled difference:

$$\delta_j = (\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j) \ln(\gamma_j / 2\pi) / (2\pi).$$  

(9)
### Table 1: Prediction of the distance from a Gram point to the next zero.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training set</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Validation set</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test set</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Prediction of the smallest zero difference in the Gram interval with the left hand zero lying in the Gram interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training set</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Validation set</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test set</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We divide the 10000 data points into three sets, of sizes 9000, 500 and 500 respectively. The first set of 9000 points is used for training the neural network. The second set of 500 points is used as a validation set during the training. Finally, the last set of 500 points is used to check how well the neural network has learned to predict the output function.

Figure 2 shows the comparison of the neural network prediction vs actual value for the distance from a Gram point to the next zero for 50 Gram points beginning at index 100000008584. Table 1 presents summary results for the complete data set. The table shows the the mean value and standard deviation for the actual data set and also for the predicted values. Figure 3 shows the comparison of the neural network prediction vs actual value for the smallest zero difference in the Gram interval with the left hand zero lying in the Gram interval, for the same 50 Gram points. Table 2 presents summary results for the complete data set. The table shows the the mean value and standard deviation for the actual data set and also for the predicted values.

In both cases, we see that the neural network gives fairly good predictions. It follows the peaks and dips of the output function faithfully. It is successful in reproducing the mean value as well as the variation of the output it is attempting to predict. Given this encouraging result, it seems worthwhile to consider using more features in the input layer and more neurons in the hidden layer to increase the fidelity of the predictions.

In the next section we give a brief summary of the results.

5 Conclusions

We studied the Riemann zeta function for the range of t from 267653395648.87 to 267653398472.51. We trained neural networks to predict the distance from a Gram point to the next zero, and the smallest zero difference in the Gram interval with the left hand zero lying in the Gram interval. In both cases, the neural network gives fairly good predictions. It follows the peaks and dips of the output function faithfully. It is successful in reproducing the mean value as well as the variation of the output it is attempting to predict. Given this encouraging result, it seems worthwhile to consider using more features in the input layer and more neurons in the hidden layer to increase the fidelity of the predictions.

The use of neural networks should also be extended to the Generalised zeta functions.
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